

FORM PTO-1390
(REV 10-2000)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

CLN-2

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

09/807312

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.
PCT/GB99/03623INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE
2 November 1999
(02.11.99)PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED
3 November 1998
(03.11.98)

TITLE OF INVENTION

IMPRINT IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US DUDLEY BRYAN CROSSLING

JC17 Rec'd PCT/PTO 11 APR 2001

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This is an express request to promptly begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)).
4. ☒ The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (PCT Article 31).
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
 - a. ☒ is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☒ has been communicated by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. ☐ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
 - a. ☐ are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been communicated by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ An English language translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11 to 16 below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☐ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.
☐ A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information: Check No. 6746 (\$430.00)
Form PCT/IB/308
Form PCT/IB/332
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (four sheets)

09/807312

17. ☒ The following fees are submitted:**BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)) :**

Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482)
nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$860.00
and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO ~~\$1000.00~~

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to
USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO. \$860.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but
international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$710.00

International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482)
but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$690.00

International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482)
and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) \$100.00

ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =**CALCULATIONS** PTO USE ONLY

\$ 860 00

Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30
months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).

\$

CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE
Total claims	8 - 20 =	---	X \$18.00
Independent claims	1 - 3 =	---	X \$80.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)			+ \$270.00

\$	---	--
\$	---	--
\$		

TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =

\$ 860 00

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above
are reduced by 1/2.

\$ 430 00

SUBTOTAL =

\$ 430 00

Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30
months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).

\$	---	--
		+

TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =

\$ 430 00

Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be
accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property

\$	---	--
		+

TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =

\$ 430 00

Amount to be refunded:	\$
charged:	\$

a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 430.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed.

b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees.
A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

c. ☐ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any
overpayment to Deposit Account No. _____. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO

**LAW OFFICE OF
IRA S. DORMAN**
330 ROBERTS STREET
SUITE 200
EAST HARTFORD, CT 06108

SIGNATURE:

Ira S. Dorman

NAME

24,469

REGISTRATION NUMBER

IMPRINT IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a method of identifying footwear or other impressions left, for example, at places where crimes have been committed. Although the following description refers specifically to footwear it will be appreciated that the method is also applicable to impressions left by vehicle tyres, parts of the body or tools for example.

BACKGROUND

WO 97/28 513 discloses a system in which a digital image of a footprint is captured, displayed on a computer screen, cropped to a predetermined size, and the rectangular co-ordinates of any unique identification features are recorded and stored in a database. The stored co-ordinates can then be compared to identify any similar sets of co-ordinates which are likely to originate from the same article. In order to reduce registration errors care must be exercised in the positioning of the image. In addition, in order to take account of any registration errors multiple comparisons are made with the sets of co-ordinates being incremented or decremented between comparisons.

The present invention seeks to provide an inventive improvement on the earlier system.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention proposes a method of imprint identification, comprising:

- obtaining, with a predetermined reproduction ratio, an image from an imprint produced by an article; and
- recording the co-ordinates of identification features present in the image; characterised by
- calculating the distances between such co-ordinates;
- storing a record of the distances thereby obtained in a database containing a number of similar records; and
- comparing the distance information of the stored records to identify records likely to have been derived from the same article.

If the lines joining the co-ordinates are displayed it will be found that they produce a polygon formed of a number of triangles. Each such polygon will be unique to the particular article from which the image was obtained. Moreover, the shape of the polygon as defined by the spatial information (distances) will not change with time and will be independent of the positioning and orientation of the image. Thus, by comparing the distance records, imprints likely to originate from the same article can quickly be identified without the need for multiple comparisons. The requirement for accurate positioning of the image is therefore eliminated and the retrieval speed is greatly improved.

The sets or distances are preferably selected according to defined search parameters covering a range of distances. By adjusting the parameters the number or recovered records can be changed. Thus, by progressively restricting the search parameters the number of records can be progressively reduced until only records likely to originate from the same article are identified.

The retrieved records may be displayed in various ways, but it is generally convenient to display the records on separate rows of a table with the distance information arranged in columns, preferably in numerical order.

The database preferably includes the images themselves so that the images can be downloaded and visually compared when required. Thus, images possessing similar polygons but different tread patterns can quickly be eliminated since they obviously originate from different articles.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The following description and the accompanying drawings referred to therein are included by way of non-limiting example in order to illustrate how the invention may be put into practice. In the drawings:

Figure 1 is an image of a shoe imprint as used in the method of the invention, and

Figure 2 is a spread-sheet table used to display distance data taken from a number of such images.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A digital image is obtained from a footprint found at the scene of a crime. The image is taken in a fixed reproduction ratio in accordance with any of the techniques described in WO 97/28 513. The image is immediately written to a compact disc (CD-ROM or DVD) in a bitmap file format for permanent storage so that it can be retrieved for future use, e.g. for production in evidence in criminal proceedings. Examples of suitable bitmap file formats are Windows BMP, TIFF and TGA. To facilitate accurate identification of the image, identification data is incorporated into the header of the bitmap file, e.g. the date, time and location where the image was taken. Furthermore, in order to eliminate the possibility of tampering a non-alterable duplicate copy of the image (known as a watermark) is recorded on the CD. The duplicate image cannot normally be displayed except by using secure retrieval software which enables the two images to be overlayed and compared such that any discrepancy between the two images is highlighted.

In addition to permanent storage the images are subjected to further processing in a way which will now be described. The image is displayed on a computer screen and cropped if necessary to remove any unwanted margins around the footprint. The display resolution is initially set so that the full image is displayed, as shown in Fig. 1. Any characterising marks present in the image are then tagged to record their rectangular (x, y) co-ordinates by positioning a cursor anywhere on the area of a mark and clicking with the computer mouse. The kind of features which are recorded generally fall into two categories:

- i) Manufacturing (moulding) defects.
- ii) Damage caused through wear, such as physical damage (e.g. cuts), inclusions (e.g. pieces of flint or metal), or areas of heavy general wear due to the particular gait of the wearer.

In order to allow more detailed examination of the image and identification of characterising features as well as facilitating more accurate positioning of the cursor within a characterising mark the image can be zoomed by up to 1,600% to increase the display resolution of any desired area. It will however be appreciated that magnifying the image in this way does not change the underlying resolution of the stored image.

Although the cursor can be manually positioned at the centre of a mark more accurate and reproducible results can be achieved by utilising software algorithms which calculate the geometrical centre of the characterising marks. Software sub-routines can auto-trace the contrast boundary of the mark, calculate the geometrical centre of the traced area, and then auto-align the cursor with the calculated point. Accurate positioning is therefore possible even with irregular areas of damage, e.g. diffuse areas of general wear.

Different kinds of characterising feature can be tagged with particular identifying symbols (e.g. circle, cross, star etc.). The ability to distinguish between different kinds of feature further enhances the discrimination of the system.

Unless the footwear is virtually new, at least three characterising features

will normally be present. In the example shown in Fig. 1 four such features are identified, labelled A to D. When all the features have been tagged, the computer calculates the distances between all of the tagged points. In the case of the image shown in Fig. 1, the four points produce six distance values which are represented by the lines 1 to 6 in the drawing. These lines are not necessarily displayed to the user but they are shown in the drawing to illustrate the unique polygon which they define.

It will be appreciated that the distance values and the shape of the polygon will be the same irrespective of the positioning of the image, and in fact, even the orientation of the image will not alter the resulting distances. Only the base resolution of the image (e.g. the number of pixels per cm) will affect the distances, but this is eliminated by ensuring that all images are obtained with a known reproduction ratio (conveniently 1:1).

The manual tags and polygons are stored as separate files appended to the original image file, and the calculated distance values are added to a central database. Each new set of readings creates a new record in the database. The image is also uploaded to the database together with the appended tag files.

By using appropriate search criteria it is possible to retrieve records which have similar distance values. Initially it will generally be desirable to use broad search criteria, e.g. all distances falling within a small number of defined distance bands. The retrieved records are then conveniently displayed in spread-sheet format, as shown in Fig. 2. Each row of the spread-sheet corresponds to a different record. The search criteria can be progressively narrowed to reduce the number of records until only those

likely to originate from the same item of footwear are displayed. By displaying the distance values falling within different bands in different colours it is possible to quickly identify the records most likely to be of interest. For example, in the drawing the values having the suffix "R" will be displayed in red, those having the suffix "Y" would be yellow, and "B" would be blue. Thus, there are only two records (rows 5 and 6) which contain values falling within all three specified bands, and these records can be selected to allow examination of the records and their associated files in more detail.

As the item of footwear ages additional characterising features will be added so that the number of distance values obtained from an image will tend to increase. It is important to appreciate however that the distances between existing features will not change so that sufficient common distance values will still be present to allow accurate retrieval of related records. It is of course possible that characterising marks will be lost as the footwear ages and shallow features wear away, but again there will generally be a sufficient number of common values remaining to allow reliable retrieval of related records.

When records which might be related have been identified the original bitmap images and tag files can be downloaded for detailed examination. Clearly, any images having similar distance values but different tread patterns can be eliminated at this stage. A manual examination will generally confirm whether the footprints originated from the same item of footwear. The tags and polygons can be superimposed on any image to assist manual comparison and identification of related images.

A second database can be set up as described in the aforementioned patent specification, containing similar data obtained by scanning the footwear of known suspects whilst they are held in custody. Again, the images are added to the second database with identification data recorded in the bitmap file header. Such details include date, time and location of image recording, the name and collar number of the officer who made the recording, the station code, suspects name, custody number, nominal number, shoe make, model, size, offence, and (if desired) other details pertaining to the offence in free text form. It is thus possible, by searching and comparing data from both databases, to link individual offenders to the scenes of crimes at which footprints were retrieved.

In summary therefore, by comparing the distance records, imprints likely to originate from the same article can quickly be identified. The requirement for accurate positioning of the image is eliminated and the retrieval speed is greatly improved. The image retrieval process does not affect other substances which might be present on a suspects footwear so that it can then be examined for forensic evidence. There is also a significant reduction in running costs compared with existing image retrieval and storage systems.

The items of information which can be added to the database records can be extended to include additional unique identifying data with the object of further improving the accuracy and reliability of the data retrieval. For example, in addition to the co-ordinates of the tagged areas the database can include the points of intersection of the lines joining the tagged points. Furthermore, the polygon can be linked to a specific moulding pattern by recording the co-ordinates of the points of intersection between the lines of

the polygon and features of the sole pattern. Even greater discrimination can be achieved by recording the angle of incidence at the said points of intersection.

Although this example refers to imprints obtained from items of footwear it will be appreciated that a similar system can be used to compare unique areas of damage or characteristic defects in other articles such as vehicle tyres or tools. Similarly, by tagging known reference points in imprints left by body parts which vary in shape between individuals, e.g. ear imprints, similar sets of distance information can be derived which can be used to identify imprints originating from the same individual.

It will be appreciated that the features disclosed herein may be present in any feasible combination. Whilst the above description lays emphasis on those areas which, in combination, are believed to be new, protection is claimed for any inventive combination of the features disclosed herein.

CLAIMS

1. A method of imprint identification, comprising:
 - obtaining, with a predetermined reproduction ratio, an image from an imprint produced by an article; and
 - recording the co-ordinates of identification features present in the image; characterised by
 - calculating the distances between such co-ordinates;
 - storing a record of the distances thereby obtained in a database containing a number of similar records; and
 - comparing the distance information of the stored records to identify records likely to have been derived from the same article.
2. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the records are retrieved from the database using search parameters which cover a plurality of defined distance bands.
3. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 2, in which the records are displayed on separate rows of a table with the distances arranged in columns
4. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 3, in which the distances are displayed in numerical order (ascending or descending).
5. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 3, in which distances which fall within the search parameters are visually

distinguished.

6. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the database includes downloadable images of the imprints.

7. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the database contains the co-ordinates of the identification features from which the distance information is derived.

8. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the database contains a further group of records containing distance information obtained directly from articles.

ABSTRACT

Imprint Identification System

Images of imprints, e.g. made by items of footwear, are displayed on a computer screen. Any identification features A-D which are present in the image are tagged and the length of all the lines 1-6 joining the tagged features are calculated and the distance information is added to a database together with the images themselves. The polygons defined by the lines 1-4 are independent of the positioning or orientation of the image and are used to retrieve images likely to originate from the same article by applying search criteria which retrieves records containing distances falling within selected bands.

1/2




FIG 1

2/2

	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
1											
2											
3	SOCO										
4	WAW	569.4647	559.0295 R	528.5319	94.82616	47.12749 B	37.48333				
5	WAW	577.0139	557.2477 R	532.0489	143.5444 Y	115.447	57.68882	51.89412	47.53946 B	45.54119 B	9.055385
6	WAW	571.4237	558.0367 R	529.5253	139.671 Y	131.0267	83.63014	48.10405 B			
7	WAW	577.0078	557.2477 R	533.0375	145.4373	132.9812	115.6936	51.89412	46.57252 B	46.38965 B	9
8	WAW	551.1343 R	532.6434	134.8369	10.04988						
9	WAW	553.8132 R	541.7906	538.0279	176.1193	106.0424	59.03389	51.86521	42.15448 B	25.63201	
10											
11											
12											
13	WAW	569.4647	559.0295	528.5319	94.82616	47.12749	37.48333				
14	WAW	577.0139	557.2477	532.0489	143.5444	115.447	57.68882	51.89412	47.53946	45.54119	9.055385
15	WAW	571.4237	558.0367	528.5253	139.671	131.0267	83.63014	48.10405			
16	WAW	577.0078	557.2477	533.0375	145.4373	132.9812	115.6936	51.89412	46.57252	46.38965	9
17	WAW	551.1343	532.6434	134.8369	10.04988						
18	WAW	553.8132	541.7906	538.0279	176.1193	106.0424	59.03389	51.86521	42.15448	25.63201	
19											
20											

FIG 2

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

Docket Number: CLN-2

As a below named Inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below my name;
I believe I am the original first and sole Inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original first and joint Inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

IMPRINT IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

the specification of which is described and claimed in:

☐ the attached Specification

☐ the Specification in Application Serial No. which was filed on and (if applicable) amended on

☒ International (PCT) Application No. PCT/GB99/03 623 which was filed on 2 November 1999 .

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the Claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information of which I am aware which is material to the examination of this Application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 (a).

I hereby claim the benefit of foreign priority, under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) or § 365 (b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or § 365 (a) of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) having a filing date before that of the application for which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign/PCT Application(s):

Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Priority Claimed
98 23 945.2	United Kingdom	03.11.1998	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

I hereby claim the benefit, under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) or § 365 (c) of any PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of any of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International Application(s) listed below in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112 I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior United States Application(s) identified below and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (patented, pending abandoned)
PCT/GB99/03 623	02.11.1999	Pending

The undersigned hereby authorises the US attorney or agent named herein to accept and follow instructions from Craske & Co. (Stephen A. Craske) as to any action to be taken in the Patent and Trademark Office regarding this application without direct communication between the US attorney or

agent and the undersigned. In the event of a change in the persons from whom instructions may be taken, the US attorney or agent named herein will be so notified by the undersigned.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Ira S. Dorman. Address all telephone calls to Ira S. Dorman at telephone number (860) 528-0772. Address all correspondence to:

330 Roberts Street
Suite 200
East Hartford
Connecticut 06108

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor:

Family name: First given name: Other given names:

CROSSLING

DUDLEY

BRYAN

Inventors signature:

X *DB Crossling*

Date: 12 03 01

Residence:

City:

Torquay

State or foreign country:

United Kingdom

Country of citizenship:

United Kingdom

Post office address:

23 Burn River Rise, Veille Park, Torquay, Devon, TQ2 7RH, United Kingdom

10/10/01 10:00 AM